Pedro Horta, †,‡ Nihal Kuş, $^{\S,||}$ Marta Sofia C. Henriques, $^\bot$ José A. Paixão, $^\bot$ Lis Coelho, $^\#$ Fátima Nogueira, $^\#$ Paul M. O'Neill,[‡] Rui Fausto,^{*,§} and Maria Lurdes Santos Cristiano^{*,†}

† CCMAR and Department of Chem[istr](#page-11-0)y and Pharmacy, FCT, University of Algarve, [P-](#page-11-0)8005-039 Faro, Portugal ‡ Department of Chemistry, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZD, United Kingdom

§ CQC, Department of Chemistry, University of Coimbra, P-3004-535 Coimbra, Portugal

∥ Department of Physics, Anadolu University, 26470 Eskisehir, Turkey ̧

[⊥]CFisUC, Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, P-3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal

CMDT and Institute of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, New University of Lisbon, P-1349-008 Lisboa, Portugal

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-11-0)STRACT: [Recent public](#page-11-0)ations report in vitro activity of quinolone 3-esters against the bc_1 protein complex of Plasmodium falciparum and the parasite. Docking studies performed in silico at the yeast Q_o site established a key role for the 4-oxo and N−H groups in drug-target interactions. Thus, the possibility of 4-oxoquinoline/4-hydroxyquinoline tautomerism may impact in pharmacologic profiles and should be investigated. We describe the synthesis, structure, photochemistry, and activity against multidrug-resistant P. falciparum strain Dd2 of ethyl 4-oxo-7-methylquinoline-3-carboxylate (7Me-OQE) and ethyl 4-hydroxy-5-methylquinoline-3-carbox-

ylate (5Me-HQE), obtained from diethyl 2-[((3-methylphenyl)amino)methylene]malonate. Theoretically (B3LYP/6-311+ $+G(d,p)$), **5Me-HQE** and **7Me-OQE** show clear preference for the hydroxyquinoline form. The difference between the lowest energy hydroxyquinoline and quinolone forms is 27 and 38 kJ mol^{−1}, for **5Me-HQE** and 7**Me-OQE,** respectively. Calculations of aromaticity indexes show that in 5Me-HQE both rings are aromatic, while in the corresponding oxo tautomers the nitrogencontaining ring is essentially non-aromatic. The structure of monomeric 5Me-HQE was studied using matrix isolation coupled to FTIR spectroscopy. No traces of 4-oxoquinoline tautomers were found in the experimental IR spectra, revealing that the species present in the crystal, 5Me-HQE·HCl, was lost HCl upon sublimation but did not tautomerize. Continuous broadband irradiation ($\lambda > 220$ nm; 130 min) of the matrix led to only partial photodecomposition of **5Me-HQE** (ca. 1/3).

ENTRODUCTION

The use of quinolones as antibacterial agents began in 1963. Following the discovery of nalidixic acid, a naphthyridone 3 acid, several quinolone derivatives with improved pharmacologic profile were synthesized. Among these, the fluoroquinolones norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin, and levofloxacin (structures are presented in Figure S1, Supporting Information) emerged as the most efficient quinolone-type antibacterial drugs and are widely used as second line antibiotics.^{1,2} As shown (Figure S1), these quinolone-based [antibacterial](#page-11-0) [agents](#page-11-0) [ar](#page-11-0)e N-alkyl-4 oxoquinoline 3-acids. The N-alkyl s[ubs](#page-12-0)tituent was [introduce](#page-11-0)d to adjust pharmacologic properties. 3 Also, N-substitution hinders the possibility of quinolone-hydroxyquinoline tautomerism, restricting structural diversit[y](#page-12-0) of the active pharmaceutical ingredient.

The versatility of the quinolone chemotype has attracted intense research, yielding quinolones (mainly 4-oxoquinolines)

with improved pharmacological properties, targeting a variety of applications, e.g., cancer,⁴ hepatitis B_1^5 hepatitis C_1^6 HIV,⁷ herpes,⁸ fungal infections, immunomodulation,⁹ tuberculosis,¹⁰ or malaria.^{11−14}

Mal[ar](#page-12-0)ia remains one of the most deadly inf[ec](#page-12-0)tious diseas[es.](#page-12-0) The grow[ing sp](#page-12-0)read of resistance by Plasmodium falciparum against conventional antimalarial drugs boosted the search for new chemotherapeutic solutions.¹⁵ The approval of malarone for the treatment and prevention of multidrug-resistant malaria validated the P. falciparum bc_1 [pro](#page-12-0)tein complex as target for developing new antiplasmodial drugs. The bc_1 complex is a homodimeric transmembrane protein responsible for the transfer of electrons from ubiquinol to cytochrome c, together with the vectorial translocation of protons across the inner

Received: September 15, 2015 Published: November 9, 2015

pubs.acs.org/joc

mitochondrial membrane. Loss of bc_1 activity leads to loss of mitochondrial function (relevant to provide intermediates for pyrimidine and ATP synthesis) as evidenced by the collapse of the transmembrane electrochemical potential, resulting in parasite death.^{16,17} Figure 1 presents the structures of

Figure 1. Structure of quinolones with relevant antiplasmodial activity.

representative quinolone-type compounds with relevant antiplasmodial activity, targeting the bc_1 protein complex of P. falciparum. Endochin $\left(1\right)^{18}$ was validated as one of the first synthetic antimalarials. It exhibits activity against avian malaria and served as model for [ne](#page-12-0)w endochin analogues (endochinlike quinolones, ELQ) with improved therapeutic properties.¹⁹ From these, the quinolone 3-diarylether ELQ-300 (2), an antimalarial preclinical candidate, 20 and the quinolone 3-es[ter](#page-12-0) ICI 56,780 $(3)^{21}$ emerged as leads.

In recent years, a range of 6- a[nd](#page-12-0) 7-substituted quinolone 3 esters have b[een](#page-12-0) prepared and tested in vitro against P. falciparum malaria parasites. Interestingly, some 7-substituted derivatives (4) expressed activity at low nanomolar concentrations.¹⁴ Docking studies performed in silico at the yeast $Q_{\rm o}$ site of the bc_1 protein complex of P. falciparum suggested a key role for [re](#page-12-0)sidues His182 and Glu272 (in the target ISP) in the recognition of high-potency inhibitors. The same studies also indicated that the 4-oxoquinoline moiety is oriented so as to enable formation of an H-bond between the quinolone carbonyl and a protonated imidazole N atom of His181, while a second H-bond (water mediated) is predicted between the carboxyl group of the cytochrome b residue Glu272 and the quinolone N−H. Thus, both the 4-oxo substituent and the N− H group appear to be important to the inhibitory activity of quinolone 3-esters toward P. falciparum bc_1 protein complex.¹⁴ It is noteworthy that, unlike for the antibacterial fluoroquinolone drugs (see Figure S1), compounds 1−4 (Figure 1) b[ear](#page-12-0) these structural characteristics.

Although so[me 7-subs](#page-11-0)tituted quinolone 3-esters appear highly promising as drug leads, the compounds present liabilities, such as poor aqueous solubility and low oral bioavailability. Preliminary results from structure−activity relationship (SAR) studies indicated that optimization could be achieved by tuning the nature of substituent at position $7¹⁴$ Additionally, considering that the information from docking studies in silico establishes a relevant role for the 4-oxo and N[−](#page-12-0) H groups in drug−target interactions, the possibility of tautomerism between 4-oxoquinoline and 4-hydroxyquinoline forms (5, 6; Figure 2) should not be neglected. Tautomerization will translate in alteration of chemical and physical properties, with possible implications in pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles.

Figure 2. 4-Oxo/4-hydroxy tautomeric equilibrium in 7-substituted quinoline 3-esters.

Thus, we envisaged investigating the tautomer and conformer preferences in quinolone 3-esters, using ethyl 4-oxo-7 methylquinoline-3-carboxylate (Figure 2; 5; $R = CH₃$) as our model compound. For simplicity, we refer to this compound as 7-methyl-oxoquinoline-ester (7Me-OQE).

We describe herein the synthesis and structure of the quinolone 3-ester 7Me-OQE. This compound was obtained by thermal cyclization of diethyl 2-[((3-methylphenyl)amino) methylene]malonate 9 in dowtherm A at 250 °C (see Scheme 1, route c) and isolated as sole product. The corresponding 4 hydroxyquinoline tautomer (Figure 2; 6; $R = CH_3$) [was not](#page-2-0) [o](#page-2-0)bserved. Surprisingly, cyclization of compound 9 mediated by POCl₃, at 100 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$, led to a mixture of an amorphous powder and crystals. Analysis of one of these crystals by X-ray crystallography revealed the presence of the hydrochloride salt of one hydroxyquinoline 3-ester isomer, ethyl 4-hydroxy-5 methylquinoline-3-carboxylate 10 (see Scheme 1, route d; HCl is formed as byproduct from POCl₃-mediated cyclization). For simplicity, we refer to 4-hydroxyquinoline 10 as 5-methylhydroxyquinoline-ester (5Me-HQE) a[nd](#page-2-0) [to](#page-2-0) [its](#page-2-0) hydrochloride salt as 5Me-HQE·HCl. The crystal structure of 5Me-HQE·HCl is described. The structure of monomeric 5Me-HQE was investigated, using matrix isolation coupled to FTIR spectroscopy (upon sublimation of 5Me-HQE·HCl, HCl is evolved and sole the free base, **5Me-HQE**, is isolated in the argon matrix) and theoretical calculations $(B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p))$. A detailed analysis of conformational and tautomeric preferences for 5Me-HQE is provided, and the possibility of quinolonehydroxyquinoline equilibria is evaluated. The conformational and tautomeric preferences for monomeric 4-oxoquinoline 7Me-OQE were also theoretically investigated, at the same level of theory.

The photostability of 5Me-HQE was assessed through an investigation of its UV-induced matrix photochemistry. The antiplasmodial activity of 4-oxoquinoline 7Me-OQE and 4 hydroxyquinoline 5Me-HQE against the chloroquine and mefloquine-resistant P. falciparum strain $Dd2^{22}$ was evaluated.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis. Quinolone 3-esters may be prepared from an α , β -unsaturated ester derivative of aniline (usually a malonate derivative) through a thermally driven intramolecular cyclization. This approach, known as the Gould−Jacobs method- ω ology, 23 presents limitations, mostly related to the high temperature (above 225 °C) required for reaction. Cyclization was f[oun](#page-12-0)d to be concentration dependent, and the product may undergo thermal degradation.⁸ Because most quinolones exhibit low solubility, leading to difficulties in extraction and purification, an alternative met[ho](#page-12-0)dology for cyclization, using phosphoryl chloride, was proposed. This approach involves cyclization of the enamine and chlorination at the 4-position, in one pot. 24 It is anticipated that the 4-chloroquinoline produced will be more easily isolated and may be subsequently converted into the [fi](#page-12-0)nal quinolone. Also, the 4-chroroquinoline may be

^aConditions: (a) NH₄Cl, Fe(II), MeOH:H₂O (1:1), 60 °C, 4 h; (b) 100 °C, o.n.; (c) Dowtherm A, 250 °C, 3 h; (d) POCl₃, 97 °C, o.n.

Figure 3. Crystal structure of ethyl 4-hydroxy-5-methyl quinolinium chloride 3-carboxylate (chloride salt of 10), showing (a) the intramolecular Hbonding pattern; (b) molecular stacking and interactions between π electron clouds of aromatic rings. The ethyl group is disordered over two alternate positions; for clarity, only the atoms of the position of larger occupancy are shown in the figure. An ORTEPII plot of the 5Me-HQE·HCl molecule is provided in Figure S11.

used as an intermediate for the synthesis of quinoline derivatives.²⁵

The synthetic approach that led to quinolone 7Me-OQE and of hydrox[yqu](#page-12-0)inoline 5Me-HQE (or corresponding salt, 5Me-HQE·HCl) was performed as depicted in Scheme 1. Both compounds were prepared from 3-nitrotoluene 7, in three steps. 3-Nitrotoluene 7 was initially reduced t[o 3-methyl](#page-2-0)aniline 8, which was converted into the diethylmalonate derivative 9. Both steps (a, b; Scheme 1) were optimized and afforded high yields of the isolated products.

The crystal str[ucture of c](#page-2-0)ompound 9 was determined by Xray crystallography (Figure S4). Atomic coordinates, bond lengths, valence and dihedral angles, and other crystallographic data were deposited [at the Cam](#page-11-0)bridge Crystallographic Data Centre, with the reference CCDC 1039617 (see Figure S4 for crystal structure and interpretation of crystallographic data). Bond lengths and valence angles of the di[ethyl 2-\[\(](#page-11-0)(3 methylphenyl)amino) methylene]malonate molecule 9 are within the expected values.

As shown in Scheme 1, two methods were followed for the preparation of quinoline derivatives from 9. Thermal cyclization of 9 in Dowthe[rm A at 2](#page-2-0)50 °C (Gould−Jacobs methodology) afforded quinolone 7Me-OQE (5; $R = CH_3$, route c). However, cyclization of 9 mediated by POC1_3 , at 100 °C, led to formation of hydroxyquinoline 5Me-HQE (10; route d). According to the literature²⁴ this strategy should afford 4-chloroquinoline, but, in our case, a mixture of hydroxyquinoline (10, 5Me-HQE) and its hydr[och](#page-12-0)loride salt (5Me-HQE·HCl) was recovered.

In the thermally driven cyclization it is proposed that the phenyl ring acts as nucleophile, attacking the carbon of the ester carbonyl group. 23 It is worth noticing that routes c and d afforded quinolines with different patterns of aromatic substitution, resultin[g f](#page-12-0)rom cyclization on both ortho carbons of the aniline derivative 9.

7Me-OQE and 5Me-HQE are easily distinguished by ${}^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR and 13C NMR spectroscopy (see Scheme 1 for atom numbering in 4-oxoquinoline and 4-hydroxyquinoline structures). Important ¹H NMR signals (in \bar{d}_6 -DMSO) for identification of quinolone 7Me-OQE are those due to resonances of H1 (N−H; bs, δ = 12.17 ppm) and H8 (Ar– H; s, δ = 7.38 ppm). Relevant ¹³C NMR signals (in d_6 -DMSO) for 7Me-OQE are those due to resonance of the carbonyl carbon of the oxoquinoline system, C4, and to the carbonyl carbon of the ester group, C10 (C4 δ = 176.0 ppm; C10 δ = 165.0 ppm). These signals confirm formation of 4-oxoquinoline 7Me-OQE from step c (Scheme 1). Figures S5 and S6 present predicted ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts (ppm) and the corresponding exp[erimental](#page-2-0) $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR and $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra for 7Me-OQE in d_6 -DMSO.

Analysis of the product isolated from step d (Scheme 1) revealed the presence of 4-hydroxyquinoline 5Me-HQE (10, Scheme 1). In this case, cyclization led to a 4-hydro[xyquinoline](#page-2-0) 3-ester methyl-substituted at position 5.

[The](#page-2-0) ¹H NMR spectra of crystalline hydroxyquinoline 5Me-**HQE** (see Figures S8 and S10), in d_6 -DMSO and in dchloroform, show the absence of a signal corresponding to the resonance [of the proton from](#page-11-0) the N−H bond. Despite presenting coincident splitting patterns that are compatible with the hydroxyquinoline form, the ¹H-chemical shifts are different in d_6 -DMSO and in d-chloroform, the difference being more pronounced for signals corresponding to H2 and H8. The proton resonances for 5Me-HQE in d-chloroform appear downfield (H2, Ar–H, d, δ = 9.26 ppm; H8, Ar–H, s, δ = 8.51

ppm), compared to the corresponding resonances in d_6 -DMSO (H2, Ar–H, d, δ = 8.38 ppm; H8, Ar–H, s, δ = 7.44 ppm). This difference is ascribed to the dissociation of the salt in d_6 -DMSO, with loss of HCl and release of the free base. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 7Me-OQE was also measured in dchloroform (see Figure S7). For this compound, there was no evidence in the spectra of significant differences in the ¹Hchemical shifts in d_6 -DMSO and in d-chloroform. So, it appears reasonable to deduce that the differences in chemical shifts observed for 5Me-HQE in both solvents were due to loss of HCl from the salt with formation of the free base, induced by the more polar media.

The ¹³C NMR spectrum of the crystalline **5Me-HQE** in d_6 -DMSO (see Figure S9) shows characteristic signals for compound 10, corresponding to resonances of C4 (see Scheme 1 for atom nu[mbering\), a](#page-11-0)t $\delta = 171.1$ ppm (predicted at $\delta =$ 184.2 ppm for the quinolone tautomer), C8 and C8a, at δ = [1](#page-2-0)27.9 and 161.3 ppm (predicted at $\delta = 114.5$ and 14[1.4](#page-2-0) [ppm,](#page-2-0) respectively, for the quinolone tautomer), demonstrating the sole presence of the 4-hydroxyquinoline tautomer (5Me-HQE) in solution. Figures S8 and S9 present the chemical shifts (ppm) predicted for 1 H- and 13 C NMR spectra of **5Me-HQE** and the corr[esponding experimen](#page-11-0)tal spectra, in d_6 -DMSO.The data obtained from elemental analysis of the powder obtained from step d (Scheme 1) are compatible to calculated values for the free base, 5Me-HQE.

Crystal S[tructure o](#page-2-0)f 5Me-HQE·HCl. The crystal structure of the hydrochloride salt of 5Me-HQE is presented in Figure 3. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths, valence angles, dihedral angles, and other crystallographic data were deposit[ed at the](#page-2-0) Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, with the reference CCDC 1039599.

Each unit cell contains two anion−cation pairs, related by an inversion center. Bond lengths and valence angles are within the expected values. Two strong H-bonds are evidenced, one between the amine group and the halide ion $(N1 \cdots C1: 2.955(2))$ Å), the other between the hydroxyl substituent at C4 and the oxygen of the ester carbonyl group $(O15\cdots O18:2.570)$ (3) Å). In addition, a short contact distance C−H···Cl of 3.645(4) Å involving the C6 atom can be spotted in the structure. The major intermolecular interactions stabilizing the crystal structure are probably weak dispersion forces $(\pi-\pi)$ type interactions) between the π electron clouds of the aromatic carbocyclic rings. The stacking of the molecules is such that the electron clouds of each N-substituted ring in one layer interact with those of two methyl-substituted phenyl rings in adjacent layers and vice versa, as depicted in Figure 3b. The distances between the centers of gravity of the rings (Cg1: N1−C2−C3− C4−C9−C10; Cg2: C5−C6−C7−C8−C10−C9) in these four intermolecular interactions are typic[al](#page-2-0) [for](#page-2-0) [pa](#page-2-0)rallel interaction between two aromatic rings (Cg1−Cg2ⁱ: 3.629(2); Cg1−Cg2ⁱⁱ: 3.625(2); Cg1–Cg2^{*i*}: 3.630(2); Cg1–Cg2^{*i*i}: 3.624(2); *i* = -*x*,1 $-y, 1 - z$; ii = 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z).

ATR-FTIR Experiments. 7Me-OQE and 5Me-HQE may also be distinguished by FTIR spectroscopy. The ATR spectra of crystalline samples of the two compounds and the proposed band assignments are shown in Figure S12 and Tables S2 and S3. As it could have been anticipated, the two spectra do not differ much, except in the cha[racteristic regions of the OH](#page-11-0) [gro](#page-11-0)up, present in 5Me-HQE and absent in 7Me-OQE, and for the carbonyl stretching mode of the quinolone carbonyl group of this latter compound, absent in the first. Specifically, (i) the spectrum of 5Me-HQE is by far more complex in the high-

12247

frequency region, where bands due to the ν OH mode are observed (this profile is complicated by the coupling of this mode with low-frequency intermolecular modes and involvement of the OH group in the intramolecular H-bond with the ester group), (ii) a band is observed at 1426 cm^{-1} in the spectrum of 5Me-HQE, which has no counterpart in the spectrum of 7Me-OQE, ascribable to the δ COH bending, (iii) a shoulder due to the stretching mode of the quinolone carbonyl group of 7Me-OQE is observed at 1724 cm^{-1} , at a position where no absorption is observed in the spectrum of 5Me-HQE. The τOH mode of 5Me-HQE was tentatively assigned to the band at 861 cm[−]¹ in the spectrum of 5Me-HQE, but the complexity of the two spectra in this frequency range precludes a definitive assignment of this vibration. On the other hand, since the spectrum of 5Me-HQE corresponds to that of the HCl salt of the compound, the quinolinic N is protonated in both compounds, and the vibrations of this moiety are observed at similar frequencies (see Tables S2 and S3).

The crystalline 5Me-HQE·HCl was washed w[ith an aqueous](#page-11-0) [sol](#page-11-0)ution of sodium bicarbonate. Analysis of the resulting compound revealed the presence of the corresponding keto form, 5Me-OQE (11), resulting from tautomerization in basic media. The ATR-IR spectrum of 5Me-OQE (11) is similar to that of the 7Me-OQE (quinolone form) in the high-frequency region. However, as described in detail below, matrix isolation studies on this sample show that during sublimation 5Me-OQE converts extensively to the most stable in the gas phase quinoline form (5Me-HQE).

Molecular Structure of Tautomers of 5Me-HQE. The tautomerism involving the 4-quinolone (oxoquinoline)/4 hydroxyquinoline system may be compared to the equilibrium between 4-pyridones (oxo form) and 4-hydroxypyridines.²⁶ For this system, it was found that the keto form is more stable in the crystal and in the liquid phase or in solution, while t[he](#page-12-0) enol form predominates in the vapor phase.²⁶ For the compound in study we may consider the equilibrium between the 4 hydroxyquinoline and 4-quinolone [\(](#page-12-0)oxoquinoline) forms shown in Figure 4: ethyl 4-hydroxy-5-methylquinoline-3-

Figure 4. Possible tautomers of ethyl 5Me-HQE considered in this work.

carboxylate (5Me-HQE; 10), ethyl 4-oxo-5-methylquinoline-3-carboxylate (5Me-OQE; 11), and 3-[ethoxy(hydroxy) methylidene]-4-oxo-5-methylquinoline (12). For simplicity, we refer to 11 as 5Me-OQE (5-methyl-oxoquinoline-ester) and to 12 as 5Me-OQHE (5-methyl-oxoquinoline-hydroxy ester).

As shown above, analysis by X-ray crystallography demonstrated the presence in the crystal of the hydrochloride salt of the 4-hydroxyquinoline form, 5Me-HQE·HCl. It was also demonstrated by NMR spectroscopy that the 4-hydroxyquinoline 5Me-HQE form exists solely in solution. We also observed that the quinolone form, 5Me-OQE, may be prepared from alkaline washing of the salt, 5Me-HQE·HCl.

To assess the relative importance of the different tautomers of the compound as isolated species (or in the gas phase), we investigated the structure of monomeric 5Me-HQE, using the matrix isolation technique coupled to FTIR spectroscopy and theoretical methods $(DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p))$. The 7Me-substituted analogue was also investigated theoretically, at the same level of theory, for comparison.

Theoretical Calculations. Investigation of the potential energy surface of the 4-hydroxyquinoline tautomer 5Me-HQE, at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of computation, yielded 8 nonequivalent-by-symmetry conformers, graphically depicted in Figure 5. Relative energies of these conformers are given in

Figure 5. Conformers of the 4-hydroxyquinoline form of 5Me-HQE.

Table 1. As shown, two of the conformers (I and II) have much lower energy than all the other forms. Forms I and II shall [represen](#page-5-0)t ca. 78% and 22% of the total population in the gas phase equilibrium at room temperature, according to Boltzmann distribution. The higher-energy forms are experimentally irrelevant under these conditions.

It is clear from the relative energies of the various conformers of 5Me-HQE, shown in Table 1, and corresponding structures, shown in Figure 5, that the considerably lower energy of forms

Table 1. Relative Energies $(\Delta E, \, \text{kJ mol}^{-1})$ and Relative Gibbs Energies $(\Delta G_{298.15}, \, \text{kJ mol}^{-1})$ of the Relevant Conformers of the Tautomeric forms of 5Me-HQE

	ΔE	$\Delta G_{298.15}$
$5Me-HQEI$ (anti)	0.0	0.0
II (gauche)	1.9	4.8
Ш	17.3	17.7
IV	18.3	20.5
V	61.3	57.5
VI	63.0	59.9
VII	60.6	57.1
VIII	62.3	59.8
5Me-OQE I	26.6	23.8
П	28.7	27.5
Ш	34.4	31.3
IV	35.4	33.3
5Me-OQHE I	157.8	151.8
П	160.2	154.3

I and II (II is higher in energy than I by only 1.9 kJ mol⁻¹; 2.6 kJ mol⁻¹ if zero-point corrections to energy are included) can be correlated with the presence in these forms of the O−H··· O(carbonyl) stabilizing intramolecular H-bond interaction. Forms III and IV are of higher energies than the most stable forms by ∼18 kJ mol[−]¹ , while all the remaining forms have relative energies higher than 60 kJ mol⁻¹. The intermediate relative energies of forms III and IV can be ascribed to the presence in these forms of the O−H···O(ester) stabilizing intramolecular Hbond interaction. This type of H-bond is well-known to be weaker than the O−H···O(carbonyl) one, since the carbonyl oxygen has a better ability to act as H-bond acceptor. $27,28$ In forms V to VIII, no intramolecular H-bonds exist, and repulsive interactions between the OH and ester substituents do[mina](#page-12-0)te, thus justifying their much higher energies.

The calculated potential energy profile for internal rotation about the COCC dihedral, interconverting conformers I and II is depicted in Figure 6. The two conformers are separated by a

Figure 6. B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated relaxed potential energy profile for interconversion between conformers I and II of 5Me-HQE.

small energy barrier (3.5 kJ mol⁻¹ in the I \rightarrow II direction; 1.6 kJ mol[−]¹ in the reverse direction), the transition state (with two symmetry equivalent forms) having the COCC dihedral equal to ∼126°. Direct interconversion between the two symmetryequivalent gauche (II) forms implies crossing a large energy

barrier (∼30 kJ mol[−]¹) corresponding to the highly sterically hindered transition state with COCC dihedral equal to 0°.

Calculations at the same level of theory were also performed on the oxoquinoline tautomers of 5Me-HQE represented in Figure 4, specifically those implying migration of H from the hydroxyl to the ring nitrogen (5Me-OQE, 11) or to the ester [carbonyl](#page-4-0) oxygen atom (5Me-OQHE, 12).

The calculated conformers of 5Me-OQE and 5Me-OQHE are represented in Figures 7 and 8. For tautomer 11, the

Figure 7. Selected (lowest energy) forms of the 5Me-OQE tautomer.

Figure 8. Selected (lowest energy) forms of the 5Me-OQHE tautomer. The two structures of 5Me-OQHE similar to I and II but with the OH group pointing to the carbonyl ring oxygen atom were found to converge barrierlessly to structures 5Me-HQE I and II, respectively.

calculations (Table 1) show that the most stable conformer (5Me-OQE I) is higher in energy than the most stable conformer of the hydroxyquinoline tautomer (5Me-HQE I) by 27 kJ mol⁻¹. For tautomer 12, the two lowest energy conformers have larger energies than 5Me-HQE I by more than 155 kJ mol⁻¹ (Table 1).

The relative stability of the hydroxyquinoline and oxoquinoline tautomers of the 7-Me substituted quinoline (5 and 6 in Figure 2; $R = CH_3$) was also evaluated, leading to the same conclusions as for compound 10. In particular, the hydrox[yquinolin](#page-1-0)e form is more stable in the gas phase than the oxo forms (the calculated energy difference between the most stable conformers of 7Me-OQE and 7Me-HQE is ~38.0 kJ mol⁻¹). Like 5Me-HQE, the 7Me-HQE hydroxyquinoline tautomer has also two low-energy conformers of nearly the same energy (anti and gauche in the conformation of the ethyl ester group and

exhibiting an $OH \cdots O=C$ intramolecular H-bond) that are similar to the two most stable forms of 5Me-HQE). This allows to conclude that, at least from the qualitative point of view, both the tautomeric and conformational patterns in the studied molecules are not significantly affected by the position of the methyl substituent on the benzenic ring of the quinoline moiety.

In order to get some clues on reasons for the calculated relative energies of 5Me-HQE and its two investigated tautomers (5Me-OQE, 11 and 5Me-OQHE, 12) we have estimated the aromaticity of the two rings in the molecules, using two well-known aromaticity indexes, the harmonic oscillator measure of aromaticity (HOMA index), defined by Kruszewski and Krygowsky²⁹ and then generalized by Krygowsky, 30 and the Bird index. 31

The HOMA value can be o[bta](#page-12-0)ined making use of eq 1:

HOMA =
$$
1 - \frac{\alpha}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (R_{\text{opt}} - R_i)^2
$$
 (1)

where *n* is the total number of the atoms considered, R_i a bond length, and α and R_{opt} are precalculated constants given in original paper²⁶ for each type of atom pair (257.7 and 1.388 for C−C and 93.52 and 1.334 for C−N, respectively). If HOMA = 1, the length [of](#page-12-0) each bond is identical to optimal value R_{opt} and thus the ring is fully aromatic. If $HOMA = 0$, the ring is completely non-aromatic. If HOMA assumes a significantly negative value, then the ring shows anti-aromaticity character. Despite its simplicity, this index has been found to be one of the most effective structural indicators of aromaticity and a good measure of π -electron delocalization.

The Bird index is another geometry-based quantity aimed at measuring aromaticity. It can be obtained through eqs 2 and 3:

$$
B_{\rm I} = 100[1 - (V/V_k)] \tag{2}
$$

$$
V = \frac{100}{\bar{N}} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i} (N_{i,j} - \bar{N})^2}{n}} \qquad N_{i,j} = \frac{a}{R_{i,j}} - b \tag{3}
$$

In eq 3, i cycles all of the bonds in the ring, j denotes the atom next to atom i , n is the total number of the bonds considered, N denotes Gordy bond order, N is the average value of the *n* values, and $R_{i,j}$ is a bond length. Parameters *a* and b are predefined for each type of bond, being 6.8 and 1.71 for C−C and 6.48 and 2.00 for C−N, respectively. V_K is a predetermined reference value for V; for six-membered rings, this value is 33.2. The closer the Bird index is to 100, the stronger the aromaticity is.

The calculated HOMA and B_I indexes for **5Me-HQE**, **5Me-**OQE, and 5Me-OQHE tautomers, using the B3LYP/6-311+ +G(d,p) calculated bond lengths, are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Calculated Aromaticity Indexes (HOMA and Bird) for 5Me-HQE Tautomers (10−12)

	tautomer			
	10	11	12	
HOMA				
C_5N -ring	0.73	0.04	-0.07	
C_6 -ring	0.76	0.90	0.89	
$B_{\rm I}$				
C_5N -ring	78.7	57.3	60.2	
C_6 -ring	80.0	88.3	88.1	

Qualitatively, the two indexes agree with each other: In 5Me-HQE, both rings are aromatic, with the heteroaromatic ring being only slightly less aromatic than the benzenic ring; however, in both 5Me-OQE and 5Me-OQHE, the nitrogencontaining ring is essentially non-aromatic, the benzenic ring being in these cases somewhat more aromatic than in 5Me-HQE. The reduced aromaticity of the heterocyclic ring in both 5Me-OQE and 5Me-OQHE compared to 5Me-HQE can then also be considered a relevant factor rendering these species more energetic than 5Me-HQE.

Matrix-Isolation FTIR and Photochemical Experiments. From the theoretical results presented in the previous section, it is likely to expect prevalence of 5Me-HQE (forms I and II), in the gas phase. However, taking into account the lowenergy barrier for conversion of conformer II into the most stable conformer I (only 1.6 kJ mol⁻¹), we can expect that at least partial conversion of II into I takes place during preparation of the low temperature matrices prepared from deposition of the vapor of the compound onto the cold (15 K) IR transparent CsI optical substrate of the cryostat (conformational cooling).³² Since the gas-phase population of II is already small (∼22%, according to the performed calculations), and the predicted IR s[pe](#page-12-0)ctra for the two conformers are quite similar (see the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated IR spectra for the two conformers in Figure S13), presence of conformer II in the studied argon matrix could not be safely established. In fact, the IR spectrum of 5Me-HQE isolated in solid argon can be fairly well reproduced [by](#page-11-0) [the](#page-11-0) [calc](#page-11-0)ulated spectrum of conformer I alone (Figure 9).

Figure 9. IR spectrum of the as-deposited argon matrix of 5Me-HQE (top) and calculated spectrum for conformer I (bottom).

Annealing of the matrix up to about 40 K led to small, but perceptible changes in the relative band intensities. These might be taken as indication that conformer II could have partially survived to matrix deposition and is being converted into I upon the annealing. However, such intensity changes can also be due to matrix-site conversions or change of relative intrinsic intensities of the different bands with temperature,

Table 3. Observed IR Frequencies for 5Me-HQE in Argon Matrix (15 K) and B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) Calculated Frequencies
and IR Intensities for Conformers I and II^a

Table 3. continued

^aWavenumbers (ν) in cm^{−1}; IR intensities (I^{IR}) in km mol^{−1}. ^bAssignments and approximate descriptions are specific for conformer **I**; ν , stretching; δ, in-plane-bending; γ, out-of-plane rocking; τ, torsion; w, wagging; tw, twisting; r_1 and r_2 refers to the benzene and heteroaromatic ring, respectively; et, ethyl group; s, symmetric; as, antisymmetric; n.obs., non-observed; n.i., not investigated. ^bAs it is common when the molecule contains a very strong intramolecular H-bond, the νOH band is very broad and difficult to distinguish from the baseline. Moreover, in the present case the spectral region where it is expected to occur is quite congested due to the presence of the bands due to the CH stretching modes and also those due to the stretching vibration of the co-deposited H−Cl (see Experimental Section). Under these circumstances, we investigated the precise assignment of this vibration.

which, in view of the low barrier for $II \rightarrow I$ [conformational](#page-10-0) interconversion, appear as more plausible explanations for the observations.

The proposed assignments for the bands observed in the experimental spectrum (at 15 K) are summarized in Table 3. In this table, the theoretical wavenumbers and IR intensities for both conformers I and II of 5Me-HQE are also pr[ovided.](#page-7-0)

It is worth mentioning that no traces of 5Me-OQE or 5Me-OQHE tautomers could be found in the experimental IR spectra (see Figure S14), clearly revealing that the species resulting from sublimation of the used hydrochloride salt (5Me-HQE·HCl[\) are so](#page-11-0)lely HCl and 5Me-HQE, i.e., the tautomeric species of the compound present in the crystalline solid did not convert, upon sublimation, to other tautomer.

Quite interestingly, the infrared spectrum of a matrix resulting from deposition of the material obtained from 5Me-HQE·HCl after alkaline washing (5Me-OQE, according to the performed ATR experiments) revealed that the 5Me-OQE keto form undergoes extensive tautomerization to the most stable hydroxyquinoline form (5Me-HQE) upon sublimation, since this spectrum is identical to that obtained upon deposition of the unwashed 5Me-HQE·HCl sample.

In situ broadband UV (λ > 220 nm) irradiation of matrix isolated 5Me-HQE for 130 min led to consumption of about one-third of the original compound. However, the number of new bands detected in the spectra of the photolyzed matrix was very small (Figure 10). These new bands appear at 2343 cm⁻¹, , indicating formation of $CO₂$ ³³ in the 2156–2106 cm⁻¹ range, and at 1734 cm^{-1} . The bands in the 2156–2106 cm^{-1} range shall be due to both C[O](#page-12-0) and ketene species. $34-37$ The photoproduction of ketene species from 5Me-HQE is supported by the following facts: (i) ketenes are [we](#page-12-0)l[l-k](#page-12-0)nown to give rise to a very intense (>900 km mol[−]¹) infrared band in the 2150-2100 cm⁻¹ range due to the ν C=C=O antisymmetric stretching, which is very sensitive to the specific conformation adopted by the ketene, 37 thus in general

Figure 10. Fragment of the IR spectra showing photolysis (130 min.; λ > 220 nm) of 5Me-HQE in argon matrix. In black: IR spectrum obtained prior to irradiation; and in purple: IR spectrum obtained after irradiation. Bands due to CO , $CO₂$, and ketene species are identified (see text).

appearing as a band exhibiting several components, as it is observed in the present case; (ii) formation of ketenes has been observed for similar compounds isolated in matrices and subjected to similar irradiation conditions, for example, methyl p -hydroxybenzoic acid (methyl paraben) and triclosan.^{38,39} The mechanism for ketene formation involves most probably the initial formation of the 5Me-HQE phenoxyl radical, as [obse](#page-12-0)rved for methyl p-hydroxybenzoic acid and triclosan $38,39$ and for phenol derivatives in general (in the case of phenol and phenol d_{5} , the formed radical could be detected and [char](#page-12-0)acterized spectroscopically in the cryogenic matrices). 40 Subsequent recombination of the hydrogen radical and ring-opening by

cleavage of an α -bond to the OH ring-substituent leads to production of the ketene; (iii) the photoproduced ketene contains a carbonyl bond, whose absorption band in the infrared is also expected to be intense (∼200−300 km mol⁻¹).³⁸ Thus, the new band observed at 1734 cm⁻¹ also supports the formation of ketene species upon photolysis of 5Me-H[Q](#page-12-0)E in argon matrix. Note that, besides these two bands, all other bands ascribed to ketenes are expected to be of low intensity and, in most of the cases, to overlap with bands due to the reactant, which explains the non-observation of additional ketene bands in the spectra of the photolyzed matrix. Similarly, no bands were observed, in the spectra of the photolyzed matrix, that could be attributed to the photoproducts of the decarboxylation and decarbonylation reactions of the ester moiety of 5Me-HQE, accompanying the production of the identified CO₂ and CO₂.

In summary, the performed photochemical experiments indicate that 5Me-HQE is relatively photostable. Upon continuous broadband UV ($\lambda > 220$ nm) irradiation of the matrix-isolated compound for 130 min, only about one-third of the compound reacted, giving rise to an open-ring ketene isomeric species. Decomposition of the compound was also observed, resulting in $CO₂$ and CO release.

Biological Activity and cLog P Calculations. As pointed out in the Introduction, the knowledge of tautomeric and conformational preferences for compounds 7Me-OQE (5, R = $CH₃$) and **5Me-HQE** (10) is important for predicting and/or explaining [biological](#page-0-0) [act](#page-0-0)ivity. According to docking studies performed for selected quinolone 3-esters on yeast $bcL₁¹⁴$ the 4oxoquinoline 3-ester form 7Me-OQE should present a much better pharmacological profile as P. falciparum bc_1 inhib[ito](#page-12-0)r than its 4-hydroxyquinoline 3-ester isomer 5Me-HQE, with the N− H and 4-oxo groups of 7Me-OQE being involved in important interactions inside the enzyme pocket. However, a change in structure will translate in change of chemical and physical properties (like solubility), with possible impact on pharmacologic performance.

We determined the clog P values for compounds prepared, 7Me-OQE and 5Me-HQE, and for corresponding tautomers, 7Me-HQE and 5Me-OQE. The antimalarial activity of 7Me-OQE and 5Me-HQE were evaluated against the chloroquineresistant strain Dd2 of P. falciparum. Results are presented in Table 4. As shown in this table, the keto forms (5 and 11) have

Table 4. cLog P for 7Me-OQE (5), 7Me-HQE (6), 5Me-HQE (10), and 5Me-OQE (11) and in Vitro Antimalarial Activities of 5 and 10

	7Me-OQE	7Me-HQE (6)	5Me-HQE (10)	5Me-OQE (11)
clog $P^a \pm$ -SĐ	$1.90 + 0.40$	2.88 ± 0.51	$2.87 + 0.51$	1.99 ± 0.31
$IC_{50}(\mu M)$ $Dd2 \pm SD$	3.03 ± 0.35	n.d.	$5.89 + 1.54$	n.d.
a By ALog PS2.1.				

a lower clog P than the corresponding hydroxy forms (6 and 10). Thus, the keto forms have a higher aqueous solubility, but their reduced lipophilicity decreases the passage through biological membranes. So, the clog P values exhibited by the hydroxy forms appear more adequate from a bioavailability viewpoint, although their pharmacodynamic profile as inhibitors of the P. falciparum bc_1 complex is expected to be less favorable, in view of the results from docking studies.

5-Methyl-4-hydroxyquinoline 3-ester, 5Me-HQE, exhibited activity against P. falciparum Dd2 at micromolar concentrations (IC₅₀ of 5.89 μ M). The oxo-tautomer, 5-methyl-4-oxoquinoline 3-ester, 5Me-OQE, is energetically less stable than 5Me-HQE by more than 20 kJ mol⁻¹ in the gas phase and was not detected in solution (DMSO) or in the condensed phase (except in the material resulting from alkaline washing of the hydrochloride salt of the compound). It was not evaluated against P. falciparum Dd2. However, from the viewpoint of keto−enol tautomerism, 5Me-HQE may be compared to 7Me-OQE, prepared by thermal cyclization in Dowtherm A (Scheme 1; route c). The difference between 5Me-OQE and 7Me-OQE resides solely on the position of the methyl s[ubstituent,](#page-2-0) which should not affect the keto−enol equilibrium. 4-Oxoquinoline 7Me-OQE was evaluated against P. falciparum Dd2 showing antiplasmodial activity only slightly higher than **5Me-HQE** (IC₅₀ of 3.03 μ M). These results may look somewhat surprising if we consider published results from docking studies, since the 4-hydroxy and 4-oxo tautomers exhibit similar activity. However, it is worth considering the lower value of clog P for 7Me-OQE, compared to 5Me-HQE, so the better pharmacodynamic performance may be offset by the poor bioavailability. This is in keeping with the proposal of optimizing 7-substituted quinolone 3-esters 5 by tailoring the substituent in position 7. On the other hand, understanding the micromolar activity of 5Me-HQE requires further investigations. The 4-hydroxyquinoline 3-ester chemotype may bind differently to the same pocket or may even switch to the Q_i site of the bc_1 complex. Such a change in the primary target for the quinolone ester class might enhance activity versus atovaquoneresistant strains. Docking studies should be undertaken with this class, using relevant enzyme targets.

■ **CONCLUSIONS**

In recent years, quinolone 3-esters were investigated as inhibitors targeting the Q_{\circ} site of the bc_1 protein complex of P. falciparum. Docking studies performed in silico at the yeast Qo site suggested a key role for residues His182 and Glu272 (in the target ISP) in the recognition of high potency inhibitors and established that the 4-oxo and N−H groups appear to be important to the inhibitory activity of quinolone 3-esters toward P. falciparum bc_1 protein complex.¹⁴

Although some 7-substituted quinolone 3-esters appear highly promising as drug leads, with acti[vit](#page-12-0)y against both the enzyme and the parasite at low nanomolar concentrations, the compounds present liabilities, such as poor aqueous solubility and low oral bioavailability. Preliminary results from SAR studies indicated that optimization could be achieved by tuning the nature of substituent at position $7¹⁴$ However, considering that the information from docking studies in silico establishes a key role for 4-oxo and the N−H [g](#page-12-0)roups in drug-target interactions, the possibility of tautomerism between 4 oxoquinoline and 4-hydroxyquinoline forms (5, 6; Figure 2) should not be neglected. Tautomerization will translate in alteration of chemical and physical properties, [with con](#page-1-0)sequences for pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles and should therefore be investigated.

We describe the synthesis and structure of 7Me-OQE and 5Me-HQE. 7Me-OQE was obtained from 9 by thermal cyclization in Dowtherm A at 250 °C (see Scheme 1, route c) and isolated as sole product. 5Me-HQE was also obtained as sole product from compound 9, by conducti[ng the reac](#page-2-0)tion in the presence of POCl₃, at 100 °C. The crystal structures of malonate derivative 9 and 5Me-HQE were investigated by Xray crystallography.

5Me-HQE and 7Me-OQE were theoretically investigated $(B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p))$. A detailed analysis of conformational and tautomeric preferences is provided, and the possibility of quinolone-hydroxyquinoline equilibria is evaluated. Calculations show preference for the hydroxyquinoline form in both compounds. The difference between the lowest energy hydroxyquinoline and quinolone forms is 27 and 38 kJ mol[−]¹ for 5Me-HQE and 7Me-OQE, respectively. The considerably lower energy of hydroxyquinoline forms can be correlated with the presence in these forms of a O−H··· O(carbonyl) stabilizing intramolecular H-bond interaction.

HOMA and B_I aromaticity indexes were determined for 5Me-HQE and its 5Me-OQE and 5Me-OQHE tautomers, using the $B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p)$ calculated bond lengths. Qualitatively, the two indexes agree with each other. While in 5Me-HQE both rings are aromatic, with the heteroaromatic ring being only slightly less aromatic than the benzenic ring, in both 5Me-OQE and 5Me-OQHE the nitrogen-containing ring is essentially non-aromatic; the benzenic ring being in these cases somewhat more aromatic than in 5Me-HQE. The reduced aromaticity of the heterocyclic ring in both 5Me-OQE and 5Me-OQHE compared to 5Me-HQE can then also be considered a relevant factor, rendering these species more energetic than 5Me-HQE.

The structure of monomeric 5Me-HQE was also studied using matrix isolation coupled to FTIR spectroscopy. No traces of 5Me-OQE or 5Me-OQHE tautomers were found in the experimental matrix isolation IR spectra, clearly revealing that the species resulting from sublimation of the used hydrochloride salt (5Me-HQE·HCl) are solely HCl and 5Me-HQE, i.e., the tautomeric species of the compound present in the crystalline solid did not convert to other tautomer, upon sublimation. On the contrary, when solid 5Me-OQE was used as starting material in the matrix isolation experiments, extensive tautomerization of the compound was observed, resulting in the sole observation of the quinoline tautomeric form, 5Me-HQE.

Upon continuous broadband UV ($\lambda > 220$ nm) irradiation of the matrix-isolated 5Me-HQE for 130 min, only about onethird of the compound reacted, giving rise to an open-ring ketene isomeric species. Decomposition of the compound was also observed, resulting in $CO₂$ and CO release. Thus, 5Me-HQE appears to be relatively photostable.

The antiplasmodial activity of 4-oxoquinoline 7Me-OQE and 4-hydroxyquinoline 5Me-HQE against chloroquine and mefloquine-resistant P. falciparum strain $Dd2^{22}$ was evaluated. Both compounds exhibited activity at low micromolar concentrations with IC_{50} values of 3.03 μ [M](#page-12-0) for 7Me-OQE and 5.89 μ M for **5Me-HQE**. The 4-oxoquinoline is only slightly more active than the 4-hydroxyquinoline. Given the low clog P value for 7Me-OQE compared to 5Me-HQE, a better pharmacodynamic performance may be offset by the poor bioavailability.

Our results support the proposal of optimizing 7-substituted 4-oxoquinoline 3-esters 5 by tailoring the substituent in position 7 and also expose the relevance of exploring 4 hydroquinoline 3-esters as scaffolds. The 4-hydroxyquinoline 3 ester chemotype may bind differently to the same pocket or may even switch to the Q_i site of the bc_1 complex. Such a change in the primary target for the quinolone ester class might enhance activity versus atovaquone-resistant strains. Docking

studies should be undertaken with this class, using relevant enzyme targets.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received. When required, solvents were freshly distilled from appropriate drying agents before use. The reactions were monitored by TLC, using silica gel F254 plates. Purifications by flash column chromatography were performed with 40−63 mesh silica gel. When required, inorganic solids were removed by filtration through a layer of Celite 512 medium. NMR (400 MHz) spectra for compounds, in appropriate solvents $(d_6\text{-}DMSO)$ or dchloroform), were measured using TMS as the internal reference (δ = 0.0 ppm). Chemical shifts (δ) are described in parts per million (ppm). Splitting patterns are designated as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), and m (multiplet). NMR spectra for target compounds, operated at 400 and 100 MHz, for ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$, respectively, are provided in Figures S2, S3, and S5−S9. Melting points were recorded and are uncorrected.

Preparation of 3-Methylaniline (m-Toluidine) (8). 3-Nitrotoluene (7) (4 mL; 33.75 [mmol\)](#page-11-0) [was](#page-11-0) [dissolved](#page-11-0) [in](#page-11-0) [a](#page-11-0) [m](#page-11-0)ixture of methanol and water (1:1; 60 mL). Ammonium chloride (6.7 equiv) was added, followed by iron powder (4.2 equiv), and the resulting mixture was stirred vigorously at 60 °C for 4 h. The reaction mixture was cooled, then diluted with methanol, and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated by evaporation under reduced pressure, and the aqueous residue was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to dryness. 3-Methylaniline (8) was recovered as brown oil (3.4 g; 94% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $(CD_3)_2$ SO) δ 6.88 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.34 (m, 3H), 4.88 (s, 2H), 2.14 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 147.4, 139.1, 130.2, 119.4, 115.8, 113.0, 22.3. MS m/z (GC-TOF, EI+): 107.1490 ($[M]^+$). These results are compatible with those described in the literature about this compound.⁴¹

Preparation of Diethyl 2-[((3-Methylphenyl)amino) methylene]malonate (9). 3-Methylaniline (8[\) \(](#page-12-0)3 mL; 28 mmol) and diethyl ethoxymethylenemalonate (5.7 mL; 28 mmol) were stirred at 100 °C, overnight. Purification by column chromatography (eluting with DCM) followed by recrystallization in ethanol and DCM yielded diethyl 2-[((3-methylphenyl)amino)methylene]malonate (9) as a creamy crystal (7.1 g; 92%). Melting range 38–39 °C. ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, (\text{CD}_3), \text{SO}) \delta 10.63 \text{ (d, 1H)}, 8.40 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 7.25 \text{ (t, } J = 7.1)$ Hz, 1H), 7.18−7.06 (m, 2H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 4.14 (m, 4H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.23 (m, 6H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, $(CD_3)_2$ SO) δ 168.0, 165.4, 151.5, 139.7, 139.6, 129.9, 125.8, 118.3, 115.0, 93.4, 60.1, 59.9, 23.4, 14.7, 14.6. MS m/z (GC-TOF, EI+): 277.1312 ([M]⁺), 231.0901 ([M − OEt]⁺), 203.0962 ([M – CO₂Et]⁺), 186.0569 ([M – 2xOEt]⁺), 158.0745 ([M – CO₂Et and OEt]⁺), 130.0682 ([M – 2xCO₂Et]⁺). CHNS for $C_{15}H_{19}NO_4$ (9) requires: C 64.97, H 6.91, N 5.05; found: C 65.07, H 6.95, N 4.77.

Preparation of 7Me-OQE (5, $R = CH_3$). Diethyl 2-[((3methylphenyl)amino)methylene]malonate (9) (1.07 g; 3.9 mmol) was suspended in Dowtherm A (10 mL), under a nitrogen atmosphere, and the mixture was heated at 250 °C for 3 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The solid precipitate was filtered, washed with hexane and diethyl ether, and dried to afford ethyl 4-oxo-7-methylquinoline-3-carboxylate (5) as white powder (0.11 g; 12%). Melting range 282−284 °C. ¹ H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, (\text{CD}_3)_2\text{SO}) \delta 12.17 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 8.49 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 8.04 \text{ (d, } J = 8.2)$ Hz, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 4.25−4.17 (m, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.20 (s, 1H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 8.26 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.25 $(d, J = 8.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 4.35 (2H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 1.39 (3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, $(CD_3)_2$ SO) δ 176.0, 165.0, 143.5, 140.6, 140.0, 131.6, 127.4, 125.3, 117.0, 111.0, 59.6, 23.4, 14.4. HRMS (CI) for $C_{13}H_{14}NO_3$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 232.0974; found 232.0977. CHNS for $C_{13}H_{13}NO_3$ (5, R = CH₃) requires: C 67.53, H 5.67, N 6.06; found: C 67.29, H 5.63, N 5.96.

Preparation of 5Me-HQE (10). Diethyl 2-[((3-methylphenyl)amino)methylene]malonate (9) (1.37 g; 5 mmol) was suspended in phosphoryl chloride (15 mL), under a nitrogen atmosphere, and the resulting mixture was refluxed overnight at 97 °C. The excess of phosphoryl chloride was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was cooled to 90 °C and diluted with isopropanol. The mixture was left to cool slowly, while a solid precipitate was formed. After filtration, washing with cold isopropanol and then with cold light petroleum ether, a mixture of a powder and orange crystals was recovered. The crystalline product was identified as ethyl 4 hydroxy-5-methylquinolinium chloride 3-carboxylate (0.25 g; 22% yield), as confirmed by X-ray crystallography. Melting range 146−147 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $(CD_3)_2$ SO) δ 8.38 (s, 1H), 7.49 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (q, 2H), 2.78 (s, 3H), 1.26 (t, 3H). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.26 $(s, 1H)$, 8.51 (d, 1H), 7.91 (t, 1H), 7.59 (d, 1H), 4.58 (q, 2H), 3.01 (s, 3H), 1.50 (t, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, $(CD_3)_2$ SO) δ 171.1, 171.1, 161.3, 144.6, 144.1, 140.1, 140.6, 132.0, 127.9, 117.3, 114.3, 60.1, 23.9, 14.8. MS m/z (GC-TOF, EI+): 231.0899 ([M]⁺). NMR and MS data are compatible with 5Me-HQE (compound 10). The powder was submitted to elemental analysis. CHNS for $C_{13}H_{13}NO_3$ (10) requires: C 67.53, H 5.67, N 6.06; found: C 67.43, H 5.63, N 6.03.

The crystalline 5Me-HQE·HCl washed with aqueous sodium bicarbonate and the remaining mixture was extracted with chloroform. The organic layer was evaporated to dryness to afford an amorphous powder that was characterized by ATR-IR and proved to be the keto form, 5Me-OQE, showing conversion of the 4-hydroxyquinoline form to the 4-oxoxyquinoline tautomer in basic media.

Computational Methods. All theoretical calculations were performed using Gaussian 09,⁴² at the DFT(B3LYP)/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory.43−⁴⁶ Calculated harmonic vibrational wavenumbers were uniformly scaled by 0.[978](#page-12-0). The scaled calculated frequencies, together with [the ca](#page-13-0)lculated intensities, were used to produce the simulated spectra shown in the figures; bands were broadened by Lorentzian function, with a full-width-at-half-maximum of 2 cm[−]¹ . The potential energy profiles for internal rotation were calculated with the driving coordinate incrementally fixed and all the other geometrical parameters optimized.

Matrix Preparation, Infrared Spectroscopy, and Irradiation Experiments. Matrices were prepared by heating a solid sample of the hydrochloride salt of 5Me-HQE or of 5Me-OQE (resulting from the alkaline washing of the hydrochloride 5Me-HQE salt) in a miniature thermoelectrically heatable glass furnace placed in the vacuum chamber of the cryostat (APD Cryogenics closed-cycle helium refrigerator with a DE-202A expander). Upon sublimation, the salt released HCl, as testified by observation of the characteristic bands of HCl isolated in an argon matrix at 2888, 2869, and 2854 cm⁻¹ (monomer), 2856, 2818 cm[−]¹ (dimer), 2787 cm[−]¹ (trimer), and 2748 cm⁻¹ (tetramer).^{47,44} Together with HCl, **5Me-HQE** vapor was formed, being codeposited with a large excess of argon (N60; supplied by commercial su[pplie](#page-13-0)r) onto the CsI substrate of the cryostat, kept at 17 K during deposition of the matrix. When the 5Me-OQE solid sample was used to prepare the low temperature matrices, the obtained argon matrices were shown to contain only the hydroxyquinoline tautomeric form of the compound, testifying the occurrence of the quinolone \rightarrow quinoline tautomerization upon sublimation.

The IR spectra were recorded in the range 4000–400 $\rm cm^{-1}$, with a resolution of 0.5 cm[−]¹ , using a Thermo Nicolet 6700 Fouriertransform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer, equipped with a KBr beam splitter and a deuterated triglycine sulfate (DTGS) detector. UV irradiation of the matrices was done through the outer quartz window of the cryostat, using a HBO200 high-pressure $Hg(Xe)$ lamp.

ATR- FTIR Experiments. The ATR-FTIR spectra were obtained using a germanium crystal in a Nicolet iN10 MX infrared system with a MCT-A detector and a KBr/Germanium optics. The spectral resolution used was 2 cm^{-1} , with aperture and number of scans of 80 and 64, respectively.

Crystallographic Analysis. X-ray data were collected using small single crystals of the compounds in study. The crystallographic

structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXT-2014/4.⁴⁸ Refinements were carried out with the SHELXL-2014/7 package.⁴⁹ All refinements were made by full-matrix least-squares on F^2 wi[th](#page-13-0) anisotropic displacement parameters for all non-hydrogen ato[ms](#page-13-0) see tables in Sections A and B in the Supporting Information for details regarding crystallographic analysis of compound 9 and of 5Me-HQE· HCl. In the latter compound the ethyl group is disordered over two positions with relative occupancies of 70:30%. Appropriate SADI and ISOR restraints were applied to the C atoms of this group. All of the hydrogen atoms (except for those of the disordered part) could be located on a difference Fourier synthesis; their positions were refined as riding on parent atoms with an isotropic temperature factor using SHELXL-2014/7 defaults that constrain these atoms to idealized positions, except for the coordinates of the H atom of the amino group of compound 9, involved in strong H-bonding, were freely refined. The X-ray analysis unambiguously demonstrate the presence of diethyl 2-[((3-methylphenyl)amino)methylene]malonate (9) and 5Me-HQE· HCl, respectively (see Figures S4 and S11, and Sections A and B in Supporting Information).

In Vitro Antimalarial Activity and clog P Calculations. Laboratory-adapted chloroquine and mefloquine-resistant P. falciparum $Dd2^{22}$ was continuously cultured as previously described by Trager and Jensen⁵⁰ and synchronized by sorbitol treatment prior to the assay[s.](#page-12-0)⁵¹ Staging and parasitaemia were determined by light microscopy of Gie[ms](#page-13-0)a-stained thin blood smears. Antimalarial activity of the co[mpo](#page-13-0)unds was determined using the SYBR Green I assay as previously described,^{49,50} with modifications. Briefly, early ring stage parasites (>90% of rings, 3% hematocrit and 1% parasitaemia) were tested in triplicate [in](#page-13-0) a 96-well plate and incubated with the compounds for 48h (37 °C, 5% $CO₂$), parasite growth was assessed with SYBR Green I. Each compound was tested in concentrations ranging from 10 to 0.002 μ M. Fluorescence intensity was measured with a multimode microplate reader (Dynex Triad, Alfagene) with excitation and emission wavelengths of 485 and 535 nm, respectively, and analyzed by nonlinear regression using GraphPad Prism 5 demo version to determine IC_{50} . cLog P calculations were performed using ALog PS2.1, as shown in http://www.vcclab.org/lab/alogps/.

■ ASSOCIATED C[ONTENT](http://www.vcclab.org/lab/alogps/)

8 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b02169.

Representative quinolones as antibacterial agents; $^1\mathrm{H}$ and/or 13 C NMR spectra of 8, 9, [7Me-OQE](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02169), and 5Me-HQE; ATR-IR spectra and table of observed ATR-IR frequencies for 5Me-HQE and 7Me-OQE crystals; calculated infrared spectra of its two lower energy conformers; table of experimental (X-ray, single-crystal) details of the crystallographic studies; ORTEPII plot of the compound 9 and 5Me-HQE·HCl (PDF) Crystallographic data for 5Me-HQE·HCl (CIF)

Crystallographic data for 9 (CIF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: rfausto@ci.uc.pt.

*E-mail: mcristi@ualg.pt.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no c](mailto:mcristi@ualg.pt)ompeting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT), Portugal (Project PEst-C/MAR/LA0015/ 603 2013), cofounded by QREN-COMPETE-UE and CCMAR for generous financial support. The Coimbra Chemistry Centre

(CQC) is also supported by FCT through Project PEst-OE/ QUI/UI0313/2014. P.H. acknowledges FCT for the award of a doctoral grant (SFRH/BD/81821/2011). N.K acknowledges FCT for the award of a postdoctoral grant (SFRH/BPD/ 88372/2012). NMR spectrometers used are part of The National NMR Facility, supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (RECI/BBB-BQB/0230/2012).

■ REFERENCES

(1) Sissi, C.; Palumbo, M. Curr. Med. Chem.: Anti-Cancer Agents 2003, 3, 439−450.

(2) Kim, O. K.; Ohemeng, K.; Barrett, J. F. Expert Opin. Invest. Drugs 2001, 10, 199−212.

(3) Boteva, A. A.; Krasnykh, O. P. Chem. Heterocycl. Compd. 2009, 45, 757−785.

(4) Nakamura, S.; Kozuka, M.; Bastow, K. F.; Tokuda, H.; Nishino, H.; Suzuki, M.; Tatsuzaki, J.; Morris Natschke, S. L.; Kuo, S.-C.; Lee, K.-H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2005, 13, 4396−4401.

(5) Tedesco, R.; Shaw, A. N.; Bambal, R.; Chai, D.; Concha, N. O.; Darcy, M. G.; Dhanak, D.; Fitch, D. M.; Gates, A.; Gerhardt, W. G.; Halegoua, D. L.; Han, C.; Hofmann, G. A.; Johnston, V. K.; Kaura, A. C.; Liu, N.; Keenan, R. M.; Lin-Goerke, J.; Sarisky, R. T.; Wiggall, K. J.; Zimmerman, M. N.; Duffy, K. J. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 971−983.

(6) Kumar, D. V.; Rai, R.; Brameld, K. A.; Somoza, J. R.; Rajagopalan, R.; Janc, J. W.; Xia, Y. M.; Ton, T. L.; Shaghafi, M. B.; Hu, H.; Lehoux, I.; To, N.; Young, W. B.; Green, M. J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 21, 82−87.

(7) Serrao, E.; Debnath, B.; Otake, H.; Kuang, Y.; Christ, F.; Debyser, Z.; Neamati, N. J. Med. Chem. 2013, 56, 2311−2322.

(8) Dorow, R. L.; Herrinton, P. M.; Hohler, R. A.; Maloney, M. T.; Mauragis, M. A.; McGhee, W. E.; Moeslein, J. A.; Strohbach, J. W.;

Veley, M. F. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2006, 10, 493−499. (9) Sultana, N.; Naz, A.; Khan, B.; Arayne, M. S.; Mesaik, M. A. Med. Chem. Res. 2010, 19, 1210−1221.

(10) Kathrotiya, H. G.; Patel, M. P. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2013, 63, 675−684.

(11) Pidathala, C.; Amewu, R.; Pacorel, B.; Nixon, G. L.; Gibbons, P.; Hong, W. D.; Leung, S. C.; Berry, N. G.; Sharma, R.; Stocks, P. A.; Srivastava, A.; Shone, A. E.; Charoensutthivarakul, S.; Taylor, L.; Berger, O.; Mbekeani, A.; Hill, A.; Fisher, N. E.; Warman, A. J.; Biagini, G. A.; Ward, S. A.; O'Neill, P. M. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 1831−1843. (12) Leung, S. C.; Gibbons, P.; Amewu, R.; Nixon, G. L.; Pidathala, C.; Hong, W. D.; Pacorel, B.; Berry, N. G.; Sharma, R.; Stocks, P. A.; Srivastava, A.; Shone, A. E.; Charoensutthivarakul, S.; Taylor, L.; Berger, O.; Mbekeani, A.; Hill, A.; Fisher, N. E.; Warman, A. J.; Biagini, G. A.; Ward, S. A.; O'Neill, P. M. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 1844−1857. (13) Biagini, G. A.; Fisher, N.; Shone, A. E.; Mubaraki, M. A.; Srivastava, A.; Hill, A.; Antoine, T.; Warman, A. J.; Davies, J.; Pidathala, C.; Amewu, R. K.; Leung, S. C.; Sharma, R.; Gibbons, P.; Hong, D. W.; Pacorel, B.; Lawrenson, A. S.; Charoensutthivarakul, S.; Taylor, L.; Berger, O.; Mbekeani, A.; Stocks, P. A.; Nixon, G. L.;

Chadwick, J.; Hemingway, J.; Delves, M. J.; Sinden, R. E.; Zeeman, A.- M.; Kocken, C. H. M.; Berry, N. G.; O'Neill, P. M.; Ward, S. A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2012, 109, 8298−8303.

(14) Cowley, R.; Leung, S.; Fisher, N.; Al-Helal, M.; Berry, N. G.; Lawrenson, A. S.; Sharma, R.; Shone, A. E.; Ward, S. A.; Biagini, G. A.; O′Neill, P. M. MedChemComm 2012, 3, 39−44.

(15) World Malaria Report 2014; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2014.

(16) Biagini, G. A.; Viriyavejakul, P.; O'neill, P. M.; Bray, P. G.; Ward, S. A. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2006, 50, 1841−1851.

(17) Hammond, D. J.; Burchell, J. R.; Pudney, M. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 1985, 14, 97−109.

(18) Stephen, J. M. L.; Tonkin, I. M.; Walker, J. J. Chem. Soc. 1947, 1034−1039.

(19) Beteck, R. M.; Smit, F. J.; Haynes, R. K.; N'Da, D. D. Malar. J. 2014, 13, 339.

(20) Nilsen, A.; LaCrue, A. N.; White, K. L.; Forquer, I. P.; Cross, R. M.; Marfurt, J.; Mather, M. W.; Delves, M. J.; Shackleford, D. M.; Saenz, F. E.; Morrisey, J. M.; Steuten, J.; Mutka, T.; Li, Y.; Wirjanata, G.; Ryan, E.; Duffy, S.; Kelly, J. X.; Sebayang, B. F.; Zeeman, A.-M.; Noviyanti, R.; Sinden, R. E.; Kocken, C. H. M.; Price, R. N.; Avery, V. M.; Angulo-Barturen, I.; Jiménez-Díaz, M. B.; Ferrer, S.; Herreros, E.; Sanz, L. M.; Gamo, F.-J.; Bathurst, I.; Burrows, J. N.; Siegl, P.; Guy, R. K.; Winter, R. W.; Vaidya, A. B.; Charman, S. A.; Kyle, D. E.; Manetsch, R.; Riscoe, M. K. Sci. Transl. Med. 2013, 5, 177ra37.

(21) Puri, S. K.; Dutta, G. P. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 1990, 84, 759−760.

(22) Oduola, A. M.; Milhous, W.; Weatherly, N.; Bowdre, J.; Desjardins, R. Exp. Parasitol. 1988, 67, 354−360.

(23) Gould, R. G.; Jacobs, W. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1939, 61, 2890− 2895.

(24) Willard, A. K.; Smith, R. L.; Cragoe, E. J. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 3846−3852.

(25) Horta, P. C.; Henriques, M. S. C.; Kuş, N.; Paixão, J. a.; O'Neill, P. M.; Cristiano, M. L. S.; Fausto, R. Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 7583− 7592.

(26) Smith, M. B.; March, J.March's Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms, and Structure; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, 2007.

(27) Teixeira-Dias, J. J. C.; Fausto, R.; de Carvalho, L. A. E. B. J. Comput. Chem. 1991, 12, 1047−1057.

(28) Fausto, R.; de Carvalho, L. A. E. B.; Teixeira-Dias, J. J. C.; Ramos, M. N. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 1989, 85, 1945.

(29) Kruszewski, J.; Krygowski, T. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 13, 3839−3842.

(30) Krygowski, T. M. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 1993, 33, 70−78.

(31) Bird, C. W. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 1409−1414.

(32) Reva, I. D.; Lopes Jesus, A. J.; Rosado, M. T. S.; Fausto, R.; Ermelinda Eusébio, M.; Redinha, J. S. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 5339.

(33) Lakhlifi, A.; Chabbi, H.; Dahoo, P. R.; Teffo, J. L. Eur. Phys. J. D 2000, 12, 435−448.

(34) Dubost, H.; Abouaf-Marguin, L. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1972, 17, 269−273.

(35) Raducu, V.; Gauthier-Roy, B.; Dahoo, R.; Abouaf-Marguin, L.; Langlet, J.; Caillet, J.; Allavena, M. J. Chem. Phys. 1996, 105, 10092.

(36) Kus, N.; Breda, S.; Reva, I.; Tasal, E.; Ogretir, C.; Fausto, R. ̧ Photochem. Photobiol. 2007, 83, 1237−1253.

(37) Breda, S.; Reva, I.; Lapinski, L.; Fausto, R. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2004, 6, 929.

(38) Kuş, N.; Bayarı, S. H.; Fausto, R. J. Phys. Chem. B 2013, 117, 13543−13555.

(39) Kuş, N.; Reva, I.; Bayarı, S.; Fausto, R. J. Mol. Struct. 2012, 1007, 88−94.

(40) Lapinski, L.; Reva, I.; Rostkowska, H.; Halasa, A.; Fausto, R.; Nowak, M. J. J. Phys. Chem. A 2013, 117, 5251−5259.

(41) Lide, D. R.; Milne, G. W. A. Handbook of Data on Common Organic Compounds, 3rd ed.; CRC Press, Inc.: Boca Raton, FL, 1994; Vol 1.

(42) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian 09, Revision A.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.

- 3100.
- (45) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 1988, 37, 785−789.
- (46) Vosko, S. H.; Wilk, L.; Nusair, M. Can. J. Phys. 1980, 58, 1200− 1211.
- (47) Maillard, D.; Schriver, A.; Perchard, J. P.; Girardet, C. J. Chem. Phys. 1979, 71, 517.
- (48) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Adv. 2015, 71, 3−8.
- (49) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem. 2015, 71, 3−8.
- (50) Trager, W.; Jensen, J. B. J. Parasitol. 2005, 91, 484−486.
- (51) Lambros, C.; Vanderberg, J. P. J. Parasitol. 1979, 65, 418−420. (52) Carrasco, M. P.; Newton, A. S.; Gonçalves, L.; Góis, A.; Machado, M.; Gut, J.; Nogueira, F.; Hanscheid, T.; Guedes, R. C.; dos ̈
- Santos, D. J. V. A.; Rosenthal, P. J.; Moreira, R. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 80, 523−534.
- (53) Bennett, T. N.; Paguio, M.; Gligorijevic, B.; Seudieu, C.; Kosar, A. D.; Davidson, E.; Roepe, P. D. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2004, 48, 1807−1810.